



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,939	08/09/2001	Hitoshi Shoji	9319S-000257	4928

27572 7590 10/27/2003

HARNESSE, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. BOX 828
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/925,939

Applicant(s)

SHOJI, HITOSHI

Examiner

Tarifur R Chowdhury

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1-3, 4-11 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Kawaguchi et al., (Kawaguchi), JP 04-020933 A.**

3. The AAPA described in the present application and shown in Figs. 15 and 16, discloses an electrooptical unit comprising:

- an electrooptical panel (100) overlapping a circuit board (7) with a predetermined space therebetween, the electrooptical panel including panel-side terminal facing circuit board-side terminals of the circuit board (Fig. 16); and
- a conductive material (60) connecting the terminal (481) of the liquid crystal panel (400) and the terminal (781) of the circuit board (7).

The AAPA described in the present application shows in Figs. 15 and 16 that the electronic components include a connector (60) elastically connected between the I/O terminals of the circuit board (7) and the I/O terminals (481) of the electrooptical panel.

The AAPA described in the present application also shows in Fig. 16 an external element such as a surface mount type capacitor (91).

The AAPA described in the present application further shows in Figs. 15 and 16, that a plurality of electrode patterns extends from an area where the driver IC (490) is located to an image area of the electrooptical panel.

The AAPA described in the present application discloses that the panel-side terminals are formed of an ITO film.

The AAPA described in the present application also shows in Figs. 15 and 16 that an area of the flexible board extends beyond an edge of the electrooptical panel.

The AAPA described in the present application also discloses that the electrooptical panel is a liquid crystal panel and that the conductive material is an anisotropic conductive material.

The AAPA differs from the claimed invention because it does not explicitly disclose the flexible board that overlaps part of the electrooptical panel and includes a plurality of front-side terminals that are electrically connected with electrical components and rear side terminals that are electrically connected with the panel-side terminals via conductive member.

Kawaguchi discloses an electrooptical unit that includes an electrooptical panel (6), a circuit board (2) and a flexible board (1) that includes front and rear side terminals (3, 4) wherein the rear side terminals are electrically connected with the terminals of the circuit board (Fig. 1). Kawaguchi also discloses that such an arrangement is advantageous since it improves workability (abstract).

Kawaguchi is evidence that ordinary workers in the art would find a reason,

Art Unit: 2871

suggestion or motivation to use a flexible board that includes a plurality of front-side terminals, rear-side terminals and through holes wherein the rear side terminals are connected with the panel-side terminals and the front-side terminals are connected with electronic components.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the electrooptical unit of the AAPA by employing a flexible board that includes front and rear terminals and are connected with the electronic component and panel-side terminals respectively so that workability is improved, as per the teachings of Kawaguchi.

Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5-11 and 14-19 would have been obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.



T. Chowdhury
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800

TRC
October 20, 2003